

Criminal Underworld and the Suppression of Hypothesis

Azmat shams

MS scholar University of Lahore Azmat566@gmail.com

Abstract

There are two separate interpretations that can be ascribed to the term "organized crime." It is possible that a broad definition of "organized crime" would include deviant behavior that is motivated by a need for profit or control. The aforementioned term, on the other hand, is now extensively used in casual speech and has successfully gained a meaning that is equal to gangsters in general or, more specifically, to the "Mafia" or other groups that are comparable. The solution that has been proposed for the problem of organized crime in this context is to increase the legal authority of particular country states and the global influence of the international community. This is because it is generally acknowledged that these groups encompass the entire world. In order for governments to effectively tackle the threat that organized crime poses, they need to invest more funding to enhancing the capabilities of domestic law enforcement and to fostering international collaboration. It is the purpose of this study to provide a comprehensive evaluation of the many national and international efforts that have been made to identify and combat organized crime. This is done with the intention of bringing to light deficiencies in the methods that are currently being utilized to address this widespread issue. On the other hand, contrary to the widespread notion, this issue is not nearly as well regulated as it is commonly believed to be, and it is rarely totally isolated from society and from recognized organizations.

Introduction

The phrase "organized crime" can refer to either of two very different things, depending on the circumstances involving the incident. There are a wide variety of criminal activities that could be categorized under the umbrella term "organized crime," including those that are carried out with the purpose of acquiring financial or political advantages. In spite of this, the phrase in question is now frequently used in everyday discourse, and it has successfully acquired a connotation that is interchangeable with individuals who participate in organized criminal activity, particularly with the "Mafia" or other syndicates that are comparable to it. When this term is employed in this manner, it typically suggests or says that criminal syndicates have used force to an extreme degree and have the ability to dominate public authorities who are weak,

apathetic, and so impotent. People who use this word in this manner frequently assert that this is the case. According to this point of view, organized criminal behavior is regarded as a threat to the larger social fabric rather than as a component of that fabric. The solution that has been presented or detailed to deal with the issue of organized crime in this context involves increasing the legal authority of every sovereign state and improving the combined strength of the international community. This is being done in consideration of the fact that these organizations operate on a worldwide scale. In order for governments to effectively deal with the danger that is posed by organized crime, additional monies ought to be set aside in order to improve the capabilities of local law enforcement and to foster international cooperation. In the majority of this paper, we will discuss the shortcomings of the conventional understanding of organized crime. The issue of organized crime in the United States During the 1920s and 1930s, a significant amount of effort was put into studying and comprehending organized crime. Despite the fact that many gangster syndicates were referred to as "organized crime," this terminology was not always the case. The vast majority of people believe that racketeering and all of its illegal activities are synonymous with organized crime. At that point in time, the term "racket" had broadened its meaning to encompass any dishonest or illegal scheme or activity. Racketeering encompassed a wide range of illegal activities, including but not limited to the following: dealing in stolen goods; insurance fraud; phony bankruptcies; securities fraud; credit fraud; forgery; counterfeiting; illegal gambling; trafficking in drugs or alcohol; and extortion. The general public was under the impression that numerous organizations and institutions, such as legislators, judges, attorneys, officials of the government, and even enterprises that appeared to be respectable, were capable of actively collaborating with criminal networks and frequently did so. It was difficult for the majority of middle-class Americans living in the United States throughout the 1920s and 1930s to comprehend organized crime because they lacked the knowledge and comprehension that would have enabled them to overcome considerable obstacles. The systematic and lucrative crimes done against African Americans and Native Americans, as well as those committed by huge enterprises, were mostly excluded from the debate as the "muckraking" journalism era came to an end in the early 1900s. This was the case for the majority of the discussions that took place. Early attempts to detect and investigate organized crime were complicated by the idea that urban slums were the primary locations where organized crime was a problem. Additionally, liberal reformers were

prejudiced toward people of different social classes. Despite these flaws, many of the early appraisals and portrayals of organized crime were quite helpful since they brought up significant questions regarding the laws and institutions of the United States. Prior to the 1940s, there was a lack of consensus among analysts in the academic community on the notion that Italians, Jews, or any other particular ethnic group living in metropolitan areas were the principal or primary conspirators of organized crime. Integration has been proposed as a possible explanation for the involvement of immigrants in criminal conduct by a number of scholars. This is despite the fact that racketeering and other illicit activities are extremely widespread. It is said by James Thurlow Adams that the vast majority of immigrants live "law-abiding in their respective countries." Tyler (1967, page 110) asserts that the climate and conditions are likely to play a significant influence in the event that the United States slid into anarchy. Observers who are keenly aware of the situation are aware of the widespread notion that organized crime does not comply with rigorous hierarchy and organization. Professor Raymond Moley stated in an academic article that was published in 1926 that it is "melodramatic folly" to believe that organized crime is a massive criminal operation that is led by a single "mastermind" and is comprised of a multitude of underlings, subordinates, and senior management. Despite the fact that Frederic Thrasher, the author of "The Gang," casts doubt on the idea that organized crime is governed by a hierarchical organization, Moley (1926) maintains that this interpretation is incorrect. According to Frank Tannenbaum's argument in "Crime and the Community" (1936, page 115), there is no overarching objective to criminal behavior, despite the fact that there are some organizational traits associated with criminal behavior. In his book "The Professional Thief," which was published in 1937, Edwin Sutherland argues that the traditional definition of organization does not apply to organized crime (p. 209). This is due to the fact that organized crime does not have a hierarchical structure that is characterized by a central authority figure or office that is responsible for controlling the activities of its members. The latter research conducted by Sutherland addressed the class prejudices that were present in the early works of criminologists. This was accomplished by shedding light on the most well-known cases of organized criminal activity that were carried out by individuals with high social position and substantial influence. Corporate criminal conduct is pervasive, persistent, frequently unpunished by the law, typically marked by purposeful intent, and regularly involves cooperation with politicians or government officials, as stated

by Sutherland in his breakthrough book "White Collar Crime" (1949). All of these characteristics are characterized by the fact that the law does not penalize them. This is very similar to the actions that are taken by professional robbers. Both the content and its organization were well-organized and easy to read. An interesting observation was made by Thrasher, which was that organized criminal groups usually make use of small networks of knowledge in order to carry out a variety of tasks. Among the persons who came from a variety of backgrounds were corrupt politicians, doctors who were accused of unethical behavior, political strategists who were involved in illegal activities, bondmen, and attorneys who defended criminals. Thrasher focused his research on the degree of adaptability that exists within the criminal justice system. Currently, the framework mostly displays feudal characteristics, such as the preponderance of institutions that are already in place. However, it will not be able to endure because it is not supported by anything of significant importance. This may come as a surprise, but coalitions and alliances may be created with relative ease. The members of certain organizations have been known to get together with the explicit intention of conducting illegal acts. This has occurred on multiple occasions. However, it is not uncommon for these individuals to suddenly transform into adversarial competitors who resort to the use of violent force. One gang has the potential to remain together for an extremely extended period of time if the conditions are right. An argument that goes awry has the potential to quickly divide a group into rival camps, which may have the potential to eventually develop into separate gangs. Every once in a while, a member of a group will make the decision to desert and join the camp that is in opposition to them. Gang leaders are frequently replaced, and they do so in a manner that causes as little disturbance to their regular operations as possible. This is typically accomplished through the use of violence. an up-and-coming gang of young men, assembled from The Danger Represented by International Organized Crime Regrettably, the American authorities chose to disregard the suggestions given by the Wickersham Commission for a complete and academic examination of the phenomenon of organized crime at the national level. As a result, any innovative approach to the administration of this phenomenon was blocked. On top of that, the majority of the other solutions that were presented to address the various shortcomings in the American system of crime control were ignored. Over the course of time, the term "organized crime" has come to be used to refer to activities that minimize or eliminate the involvement of individuals belonging to "respectable" social

strata in the process of finding a solution to this issue. When compared to the approach taken by Dorr and Simpson, the classification of evidence pertaining to organized crime was done using criminal categories rather than criminal law categories. As time went on, what would eventually become more significant than who does? The prevalent scholarly perspectives on organized crime soon became ingrained in an interpretation that hid the inherently flawed nature of the system. This interpretation also served as a justification for the persistent calls for more misguided measures at the local, national, and eventually international levels. The purpose of this study is to demonstrate how the American response to organized crime has been characterized by a restricted perspective, which has led to the continuation of certain difficulties related to organized criminal behavior while disregarding the opportunity to successfully handle a large number of other issues that are related. Immediately after the passing of Prohibition, the concept of organized crime shifted from that of a criminal activity to that of a systemic phenomenon that is intertwined with social, economic, and political systems. The implementation of this alteration was preceded by observable shifts in language and visual representations. As a result of the awareness that organized crime is a distinct group of criminals that pose a significant threat to the institutions of the nation, emphasis has shifted away from the perceived flaws in the system and toward the recently gained knowledge on the subject, in addition to other issues that are pertinent. The subject, which had been rethought, was presented by journalists with the appearance of historical and contemporary importance, while filmmakers assisted in visualizing the situation. A consensus was reached among politicians, law enforcement, and the media, which resulted in a significant shift in the image that the general public had of organized crime. Instead than questioning the validity of certain laws and advocating for law enforcement that is both sincere and effective at the local level, the accord proposed a higher level of national coordination in order to ensure that the laws that are already in place are carried out to the letter. It was during the height of the Cold War, when concerns regarding "un-American" conduct and ideology were at their highest, that the Mafia conspiracy idea emerged. This gave the growing discourse an ethnic component and imposed additional restrictions on the issues that could be discussed. The primary reason for the existence of modern organized crime is the existence of criminal gangs that are able to deliberately undermine both commercial enterprises and government institutions. In light of this circumstance, one could be inclined to believe that the government ought to be granted a greater degree

of jurisdiction to capture the perpetrators in order to address the issue of organized crime (Woodiwiss, 1988, passages 103-163). According to the findings of the Commission on Law Enforcement and the Administration of Justice, which was established by President Lyndon Johnson in 1967, a significant amount of organized crime in the United States is controlled by twenty-four distinct groups. These criminal organizations have control over significant cities across the entirety of the nation. Individuals of Italian ancestry are the only members of the organization, and they frequently communicate with one another. The organization is governed by a national council of overseers. According to the findings of the Commission, the primary sources of income for organized crime include activities like as gambling, the distribution of illegal substances, predatory lending practices, and a variety of extramoral activities. The findings of the study have provided evidence of the robust and pervasive connections that exist between labor unions, legitimate corporate groups, and organized crime. The standards established by the Commission have continued to serve as a primary model for the projects that have come after them. In the United States of America, organized crime is a social entity that strives to function independently of the general public and official organizations that are recognized by the government. A wide variety of individuals who are involved in criminal activities, who work inside systems that adhere to standards that are more stringent than those enforced by official governing authorities, and who have organizational structures that are so complex that they are comparable to those of huge enterprises are all included in this phenomenon. It is not the case that the individual under investigation is behaving in a haphazard or arbitrary manner in her actions. On the other hand, they are the result of a lengthy and intricate series of deliberate activities that were meticulously planned in order to grab control of entire industries and thereby collect a substantial amount of wealth. As a response to the Cosa Nostra's efforts to undermine the fundamental values of morality and honesty, which are highly valued in a society that protects individual liberties, the President's Commission on Law Enforcement and the Administration of Justice presented a comprehensive framework of regulations and guidelines (President's Commission on Law Enforcement and the Administration of Justice, 1967, pp. 187-209). This was done in response to the Cosa Nostra's actions. As a consequence of this, the meaning of the term "organized crime" shifted during the 1960s, shifting away from its initial meaning of a criminal scheme with a hierarchical structure and toward a more general noun. In the past, the integrity of the local

government has been compromised. Attorneys and law enforcement personnel were harmed as a result of the poison. Inside of long-standing enterprises, it made its way in. In a society that values freedom, the act was detrimental to the integrity and propriety of the society. The perspective of organized crime has shifted from putting an emphasis on its role as a criminal syndicate to attributing the persistent problem of organized crime in the United States to societal aspects that are comprised of the "respectable" sphere. The long-awaited development of a theory that was widely accepted by the significant groups in American society has finally taken place. Local politicians and bureaucrats were able to provide an acceptable reason for their inability to successfully halt racketeering in their communities that was tied to industry or vice as a result of their developing understanding of organized crime. Those politicians who have aspirations of attaining national significance have come to the realization that collaborating with organized criminal gangs has the potential to raise their public profile and presence. The concept of organized crime has been proposed by law professors and publications published by bar associations in order to provide an explanation for the presence of a small number of dishonest individuals who have a tendency to engage in illegal behavior within the legal profession. Members of the government from national agencies, particularly J. Edgar Hoover of the United States believed that it would assist them in improving their resources and money. Additionally, American businesses have the ability to defend their fundamental moral views by demonstrating that organized crime poses a significant threat to their financial success. Even in situations when there was an apparent lack of accountability, the presence of prestigious institutions and components of society remained to be a significant contributor to the problem of organized crime. Further, laws that were intended to prohibit vices such as gambling, drug usage, and other vices have been shown to be abused, just as they were when they were first implemented. Questions that have been raised about the pluralist theory of worldwide organized crime. For the same reason that the American pluralist progeny of the Mafia conspiracy hypothesis is supported by statistics, the global pluralist concept that was presented at the Washington and Naples conferences is founded on data. There is no doubt that criminal organizations can find a way to survive in every region of the planet. A sufficient amount of in-depth research has been conducted both domestically and internationally to identify some of the techniques that various Mafiosi, Triad, Camorrista, and other groups have employed in order to adapt and thrive in the face of

growing government enforcement and more frequent instances of competitive bloodletting. There is a tendency for current drug trafficking organizations in Mexico and Colombia, as well as outlaw motorcycle gangs, to use violence and intimidation in order to advance their unlawful commercial interests (Beare, 1996; Guillermprieto, 2000; Paoli, 1998). This tendency has been exhibited by both of these groups. Despite this, the global pluralist theory of organized crime is plagued by two significant flaws. In the first place, contrary to the widespread belief, organizations that are modeled after the Mafia hardly ever, if ever, exert any kind of influence over legal marketplaces. The majority of academics have established that the drug and other illegal markets are competitive and fragmented, rather than becoming monopolized (Reuter, 1984; Ruggiero and South, 1995). This is in contrast to the traditional model of monopolization. If the majority of criminal activity were carried out by a small number of supercriminal groups, either individually or in concert, then it would be much simpler for governments to combat organized crime. Getting rid of the leaders of each of these groups would be the solution to the problem. Unfortunately for the Americans, even after they had orchestrated the murders of Al Capone, Lucky Luciano, Tony Salerno, John Gotti, and other individuals, the reality of American gangsterism and the much more vast and difficult problem of organized crime continued to exist. In the second place, the global pluralist thesis, which is quite similar to the Mafia conspiracy concept, uses semantics to hide the fact that respectable institutions are involved in organized crime. As an illustration, the message that Boutros-Ghali sent in his statements in Naples was crystal obvious: the threat that organized crime posed to respectable institutions was clear. According to this perspective (United Nations, 22 November 1994), the only way for "legitimate society" to combat the "forces of darkness" that are presented by organized crime is through the formation of a worldwide coalition. Organized crime "corrodes political leaders," "poisons the business climate," and "infiltrates the State apparatus." However, research both historical and modern reveals that, among other strong institutions, businesses and government agencies have profited from organized crime and, on occasion, even contributed to its survival (see, for instance, Block and Chambliss (1981), Chambliss (1978), Gardiner (1970), McCoy (1991), Pearce (1976), Rawlinson (1998), and Ruggiero (1996)). In the same way that it is the case in any of the 138 countries that were represented at the United Nations assembly, the history of organized crime in the United States is a sign that the global pluralist worldview is insufficient. In the United

States, organized crime has always been seen as a phenomenon that is malleable and open-ended, and it is seen as something that improves rather than reduces current or growing economic and political power structures. This perspective of organized crime has been prevalent since the beginning of time. As a result, it is reasonable to question whether it is rational to confer further authority on the officialdom that is responsible for the maintenance of these networks in the name of battling organized crime. Each and every nation that sent representatives to the Naples meeting was keeping some extremely dirty linen hidden from the attendees. Citizens of the United States are usually of the opinion that organized crime poses a threat to civil society. As a result, a number of groups and individuals have developed projects to combat organized crime without first investigating the possibility of any existing or possible involvement from the government, corporations, or professionals. The individuals who were assisting in the construction of the gigantic labyrinthine trap were eager to determine whether or not the criminal rats might possibly escape. The "rat-trap" organized crime control approach that was developed in the United States has been successfully exported to a large number of other countries and will continue to be beneficial for attentive law enforcement and prosecution. This technique targets and immobilizes specific persons or criminal networks. That the arrest and conviction of well-known international criminals will take place as a consequence of this will definitely garner headlines around the world. In spite of this, the 'rat-trap' method will ultimately be shown to be just as ineffectual in the twenty-first century as it was in the previous century when it comes to addressing the problems associated with international organized crime. It is difficult to believe that the United States' efforts to combat organized crime are credible. Historically, the state that developed the framework for addressing organizational crime has not only safeguarded but also fostered the criminal activity that occurs within organizations.

References

Beare, M. (1996). *Criminal Conspiracies: Organized Crime in Canada*. Scarborough, Ontario: Nelson, Canada.

Bewley-Taylor, D. (1999). *The United States and International Drug Control*. London: Pinter.

- Block, A. and Chambliss, W. J. (1981). *Organizing Crime*. New York: Elsevier.
- Calder, J. D. (1993). *The Origins and Development of Federal Crime Control Policy: Herbert Hoover's Initiatives*. Westport, Connecticut: Praeger.
- Chamberlin, H. B. (1931-2). 'Some observations concerning organized crime.' *Journal of Criminal law and Criminology*, XXII, pp. 652-670.
- Chambliss, W. J. (1978). *On the Take: From Petty Crooks to Presidents*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Friedman, L. M. (1993). *Crime and Punishment in American History*. New York: Basic Books.
- Gardiner, J. (1970). *The Politics of Corruption: Organized Crime in an American City*. New York: Russell Sage.
- Guillermoprieto, A. (2000). 'Our new war in Colombia.' *The New York Review of Books*, 13 April. McCoy, A. W. (1991). *The Politics of Heroin: CIA Complicity in the Global Drug Trade*. Brooklyn: Lawrence Hill.
- Moley, R. (1926). 'Politics and crime.' *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, XXV, no. 214 (May), 78-84.
- Naylor, R. T. (1995). 'From Cold War to Crime War.' *Transnational Organized Crime*, Vol. 1, No. 4. Paoli, L. (1998). 'The Pentiti's contribution to the conceptualization of the mafia phenomenon.' In: V. Ruggiero and N. South (Eds.). *The New European Criminology: Crime and social order in Europe*. London: Routledge.
- Pearce, F. (1976). *Crimes of the Powerful: Marxism, Crime and Deviance*. London: Pluto Press.
- Ploscowe, M. (Ed.). (1952). *Organized Crime and Law Enforcement, Volume I*. New York: The Grosby Press.

Potter, G. W. (1994). *Criminal Organizations: Vice, Racketeering, and Politics in an American City*. Prospect Heights, Illinois: Waveland Press.

President's Commission on Law Enforcement and the Administration of Justice (1967). *The Challenge of Crime in a Free Society*. Washington DC: Government Printing Office.

Raine, L. P. and Cilluffo, F. J. (1994). *Global Organised Crime: The New Empire of Evil*. Washington DC: Center for Strategic and International Studies.

Rawlinson, P. (1998). *Russian Organized Crime: Moving Beyond Ideology*. In: V. Ruggiero and N. South (Eds.). *The New European Criminology: Crime and Social Order in Europe*. London: Routledge.

Reuter, P. (1984). *Disorganized Crime: Illegal Market and the Mafia*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.

Ruggiero, V. (1996). *Organized and Corporate Crime in Europe: Offers that Can't Be Refused*. Aldershot: Dartmouth.

Ruggiero, V. and South, N. (1995). *Eurodrugs: Drug Use, Markets and Trafficking in Europe*. London: UCL Press.

Smith, D. C. (1991). 'Wickersham to Sutherland to Katzenbach: Evolving an "Official" Definition of Organized Crime'. *Crime, Law and Social Change*, 16 (2).

Strolberg, B. (1940). 'Thomas E. Dewey: Self-Made Myth.' *American Mercury*, June.

Sutherland, E. (1937). *The Professional Thief*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

Sutherland, E. (1949). *White Collar Crime*. New York: Dryden Press.

Tannenbaum, F. (1936). *Crime and the Community*. New York: Ginn and Company.

Thrasher, F. M., (1960). *The Gang: A Study of 1,313 Gangs in Chicago*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Tran, M. (1998). 'Drug war just an exercise in futility.' *The Guardian*, 11 June 1998.

Truell, P. and Gurwin, L. (1992). *False Promises: The Inside Story of BCCI (Bank of Credit and Commerce International), The World's Most Corrupt Financial Empire*. Boston: Houghton-Mifflin.

Tyler, G. (Ed.). (1967). *Organized Crime in America*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press. United Nations (17 November 1994). World Ministerial Conference on Organized Transnational Crime to be held in Naples, Italy, From 21 to 23 November. Background Release. United Nations (22 November 1994). Proposed Formulation of Global Convention Against Organized Crime Discussed at World Ministerial Conference. Background Release. United Nations Economic and Social Council (19 September 1994). Appropriate Modalities and Guidelines for the Prevention and Control of Organised Transnational Crime at the Regional and International Levels. Background Document, E/CONF.88/5.

Woodiwiss, M. (1988). *Crime, Crusades and Corruption: Prohibitions in the United States, 1900-1987*. London: Pinter.